

ATTACHMENT 2 (g)

Course Report

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

**Course REPORT
(CR)**

**Programming 2
CSI 221-Z**

Mohammad Al Khaldy

A separate Course Report (CR) should be submitted for every course and for each section or campus location where the course is taught, even if the course is taught by the same person. Each CR is to be completed by the course instructor at the end of each course and given to the program coordinator

A combined, comprehensive CR should be prepared by the course coordinator and the separate location reports are to be attached.



Course Report

For guidance on the completion of this template refer to the NCAAA handbooks or the NCAAA Accreditation System help buttons.

Institution	Almajmaah university	Date of Course Report	2/8/1435
College/ Department College of Science / Department of Computer science and Information			

A. Course Identification and General Information

1. Course title	Programming2	Code #	CSI 221-Z	Section #	823	
2. Name of course instructor	Mohammad Al Khaldy	Location	College of Science in Azulfi			
3. Year and semester to which this report applies.	2 nd Semester 1434/1435					
4. Number of students starting the course?	<input type="text" value="13"/>	Students completing the course?	<input type="text" value="11"/>			
5. Course components (actual total contact hours and credits per semester):						
	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Practical	Other:	Total
Contact Hours	45		30			75
Credit	45		15			60

B. - Course Delivery

1. Coverage of Planned Program			
Topics Covered	Planned Contact Hours	Actual Contact Hours	Reason for Variations if there is a difference of more than 25% of the hours planned
1-Review C++ programming.	8	8	
2-Introduction to array and Implementation	8	8	
3-Introduction to pointer and application	8	8	
4-Class and method	12	12	
5-Class(constructor , destructor)	8	8	
6-overloading	8	10	



7- polymorphism	8	8	
-----------------	---	---	--

2. Consequences of Non Coverage of Topics

For any topics where the topic was not taught or practically delivered, comment on how significant you believe the lack of coverage is for the course learning outcomes or for later courses in the program. Suggest possible compensating action.

Topics (if any) not Fully Covered	Effectuated Learning Outcomes	Possible Compensating Action
No topics	-	-

3. Course learning outcome assessment.

	List course learning outcomes	List methods of assessment	Summary analysis of assessment results
1	Using C++ data types, class libraries and control constructs.	Written Exam Homework assignments Lab assignments Class Activities Quizzes	The average of results 74.71 (C+) for 21 students.
2	Implement C++ classes, objects, and class relationships.	Written Exam Homework assignments Lab assignments Class Activities Quizzes Observations	
3	Develop and write programs applying Object Oriented principles using Visual Basic.		
4	Create member functions using C++		
5	Building C++ classes and inheritance	Written Exam Homework assignments Lab assignments Class Activities Quizzes	
6	Writing GUI applications using visual C++		

Summarize any actions you recommend for improving teaching strategies as a result of evaluations in table 3 above.

- Individual presentations
- Brainstorming
- Small group discussion
- Whole group



4. Effectiveness of Planned Teaching Strategies for Intended Learning Outcomes set out in the Course Specification. (Refer to planned teaching strategies in Course Specification and description of Domains of Learning Outcomes in the National Qualifications Framework)			
List Teaching Methods set out in Course Specification	Were these Effective?		Difficulties Experienced (if any) in Using the Strategy and Suggested Action to Deal with Those Difficulties.
	No	Yes	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lectures • Homework • conversation 		√	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conversation between student. • Indirected questions. • Work group for some cases. 		√	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Making groups and distributed tasks. • Presentation skills. • Skill constructive Monetary and dialogue and discussion with others • The ability to clearly express an opinion, and accept the opinions of others 		√	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • E-mail • Web sit 		√	

Note: In order to analyze the assessment of student achievement for each course learning outcome, student performance results can be measured and assessed using a KPI, a rubric, or some grading system that aligns student work, exam scores, or other demonstration of successful learning.



C. Results

1. Distribution of Grades

Letter Grade	Number of Students	Student Percentage	Explanation of Distribution of Grades
A	1	8.3%	
B	1	8.3%	
C	0	0%	
D	6	50%	
F	4	33%	
Denied Entry	0	0	
In Progress	12	92.3%	
Incomplete	1	7.6%	
Pass	12	100%	
Fail	4	33.33%	
Withdrawn	1	7.6%	

2. Analyze special factors (if any) affecting the results

3. Variations from planned student assessment processes (if any) (see Course Specifications).

a. Variations (if any) from planned assessment schedule (see Course Specification)

Variation	Reason



b. Variations (if any) from planned assessment processes in Domains of Learning (see Course Specification)	
Variation	Reason

4. Student Grade Achievement Verification (eg. cross-check of grade validity by independent evaluator).	
Method(s) of Verification	Conclusion
Interview students, including answers and model answer sheet and learning resources for decision	Good result

D. Resources and Facilities

1. Difficulties in access to resources or facilities (if any)	2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course.
---	---

E. Administrative Issues

1 Organizational or administrative difficulties encountered (if any)	2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course.
--	---

F Course Evaluation

1 Student evaluation of the course (Attach survey results report)
a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths



b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation
2. Other Evaluation (e.g. by head of department, peer observations, accreditation review, other stakeholders)
a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths
b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation

G. Planning for Improvement

1. Progress on actions proposed for improving the course in previous course reports (if any).			
Actions recommended from the most recent course report(s)	Actions Taken	Results	Analysis
a.			
b.			
c.			
d.			



2. List what actions have been taken to improve the course (based on previous CR, surveys, independent opinion, or course evaluation).

3. Action Plan for Improvement for Next Semester/Year

Actions Recommended	Intended Action Points and Process	Start Date	Completion Date	Person Responsible
a.				
b.				
c.				
d.				
e.				

Name of Course Instructor: _____

Signature: _____ Date Report Completed: _____

Program Coordinator: _____

Signature: _____ Date Received: _____